Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement of Arid Land

Geography

Arid Land Geography (ALG), founded in 1978, is a bimonthly academic Chinese journal (ISSN 1000-6060, CN 65-1103/X) with 6 issues per year. This journal is superintended by Chinese Academy of Sciences, jointly sponsored by Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Geographical Society of China, and published by Science Press.

The main academic contents of Arid Land Geography include the new theories, technologies, methods and achievements in geography of the arid region, particularly in the fields of physical geography, human geography, regional geography, natural resource exploitation and utilization, environment evolution, disaster prevention and control meteorology and climatology in arid area. The aim of the journal is to promote academic exchange at home and aboard and to set up the forum for prospering and developing geography of arid land.

ALG is dedicated to the best practices on ethical matters, errors, and retractions. The prevention of publication malpractice is one of the important responsibilities of the Editorial Board. Any kind of unethical behavior is not acceptable by the journal and the Editorial Board does not tolerate plagiarism in any form. Authors submitting articles to ALG need to affirm that the manuscript content is original and sign a Copyright Agreement with the editorial office.

The editors of ALG evaluate the submitted manuscripts exclusively on the basis of academic merit (importance, originality, study's validity, clarity) and the relevance to the journal's scope, without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, citizenship, religious belief, political philosophy or institutional affiliation. Decisions to publication are not determined and affected by the policies of governments or any other agencies outside of the journal itself. The Editor-in-Chief has the full authority over the entire editorial content of the journal.

Editors, authors and reviewers will also adhere to the ALG submission guideline policies: Duties of Editors

Publication Decisions: Based on the review report of the Editorial Review Board the editor has complete responsibility and authority to accept, reject or request modifications to the manuscript.

Review of Manuscripts: Manuscripts are initially evaluated by the editor for originality, making use of appropriate software to do so. Following desk review, the manuscript is subject to double-blind peer review, then to the Editorial Review Board which will make a recommendation to accept, reject, or modify the manuscript.

Fair Review: The editor must ensure that each manuscript submitted to ALG is reviewed for its intellectual content without regard to sex, gender, race, religion, citizenship, etc. of the authors.

Confidentiality: The editor must ensure that information regarding manuscripts submitted by the authors is kept confidential.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest: The editor of this journal shall not use unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript for his/her own research without the written consent of the author.

Errata Information: The editor must publish errata pages or make corrections when needed.

Ethical Guidelines: The editor shall ensure that all research material they publish conforms to internationally accepted ethical guidelines.

Proof of Misconduct: The editor should not reject papers based on suspicions; they should have

proof of misconduct.

Accountability: Editors attend four annual meetings through video conferences or virtual programs if necessary, to include in the journal. They may review submitted manuscripts, identify topics for special issues or attract new authors and submissions if necessary.

Duties of Authors

Publication guidelines: Authors must follow the submission guidelines of the journal.

Original Work: Authors must ensure that they have written entirely original work. Authors must certify that the manuscript has not previously been published elsewhere.

Multiple Submissions: Authors must certify that the manuscript is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

Peer Review Process: Authors must participate in the peer review process.

Authorship of the Paper: All authors mentioned in the paper must have significantly contributed to the research.

Data Access and Retention: Authors should provide raw data related to their manuscript for editorial review and must retain such data.

Authenticity of Data: Authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their manuscript. Authors must state that all data referred to in the paper is genuine.

Undisputed map: All authors must provide undisputed maps.

Conflict of Interest: Authors must notify the editors of any conflicts of interest.

Fundamental Errors: Authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes at any point of time if the author(s) discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in the submitted manuscript.

Duties of Reviewers

Confidentiality: Reviewers should keep all information regarding papers confidential and treat them as privileged information.

Acknowledgment of Sources: Reviewers must ensure that authors have acknowledged all sources of data used in the research.

Standards of Objectivity: Reviews should be conducted objectively, with no personal criticism of the author.

Supporting Argument: Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments

Plagiarism, Fraud and Other Ethical Concerns: Reviewers should let the editor know if they suspect/find that a manuscript is a substantial copy of another work, citing the previous work in as much detail as possible.

Relevant Work: Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.

Conflicts of Interest: Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Promptness: In the event that a reviewer feels it is not possible for him/her to complete review of the manuscript within stipulated time then this information must be communicated to the editor so that the manuscript could be sent to another reviewer

Accountability: Review members are invited to attend two annual meetings through video conferences or virtual communications and suggest ideas, new initiatives, and programs if necessary, to include in the journal. They review submitted manuscripts, identify topics for special issues or

attract new authors and submissions if necessary.

About Archiving:

Our journal's plan for electronic backup and preservation of access to our journal content in the event that our journal is no longer published is clearly indicated here, i.e., we will archived by Alibaba Cloud.